UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/244,792	02/05/1999	ALDO T. IACONO	P32130	4164	
21003 BAKER BOTT	7590 06/16/200 S L.L.P.	8	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL 44TH FLOOR	LER PLAZA	WANG, SHENGJUN			
44TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10112-4498			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/16/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DLNYDOCKET@BAKERBOTTS.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/244,792	IACONO, ALDO	T.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shengjun Wang	1617				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Shengjun Wang</u> .	(3) <u>Aldot T. Iacono</u> .					
(2) <u>Sreeni Padmanabhan</u> .	(4) Andrew T. Serafini; Ralph Niven.					
Date of Interview: 29 May 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: A powerpoint presentation expand acute rejection.	e)∏ No. plaining the difference betwee	n chronic refract	ory rejection			
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	//A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejections on the record have been discussed. Applicant made presentation and explained the difference between the chronic rejection and acute rejection. Applicant further present the technical feature of his invention. Possible amendment to the claims have also been discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Shengjun Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 16 Examiner's signature, if require					